

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

nl 5-1988, 11, 1, - 1174

16575

" 91-1787-15 (Memorandum)

Later reference of 314

me 17-1787-15

1787-15-15

60/1787
Presented

File No 171-20

Serial Nos 6, 22a, 22b
+ 23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 6, 22a, 22b + 23

Part B for December 1921

SUBJECT

Revised scale of establishment for the
Special Improvement Bureau Bangalore
City

To the Chair City-Impt Comm'ttee, Bangalore
" President, City-Impt Comm'ttee, Bangalore
" Chief Engineer
" Comptroller to Govt
" Municipal Secretary.

G O No. 23813-7 of 31 12 2

Orders the abolition of the City-Impt Comm'ttee,
Bangalore, by the end of July 1922 and the transfer
of its work to the Bangalore City-Impt Comm'ttee

WB 1000-072-1000-1000

M. K. Hanuman
5-4-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*mul*)

NOTES

File No. 17 - 20

FILE HEADING

Bangalore City Improvements

From

The Chairman, City Imp't Committee, Bangalore

No. 506

Dated 15-3-21

Received 21-3-21

Diary No. 7019

Enclos 2 Spare Copies

Serial No 6

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Submits for approval a revised statement for the Special Improvement Division as per in para 1 of G.O. No. 18569-70/dul 3-19-188, etc. and states that a revised programme of works ~~and for, has been prepared & submitted for the approval~~ ~~the Civil Council and that on its receipt, it will be sent to Govt.~~

The Chairman has proposed for an ~~etc.~~ engineering establishment costing Rs 1490/- a month and the sanctioned allotment of Rs 1500/-

^{increased}
2 The ~~sub of~~ previously sanctioned

Serial
No

For Sub-overseers was once in 3 years but it is now proposed to be made annual. Whether this is in line with the scale of increments granted to the Sub-overseers in the State P.W.D. has to be ascertained.

In view of the fact that the ^{part draughts} ~~part draughts~~ have since been ordered to be kept in abeyance owing to the present financial stringency, it is a matter for consideration whether the establishment now proposed is not more than what is absolutely required.

It is suggested that the Chief Engineer may be requested to submit the scale of establishment proposed.

7/2
29 3-4
we

5 may be referred to the Chief Engineer for opinion.

Rev Secy

5/3/20
31 3-2

19 3 21

C. R. B. III 2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

10:10
31
File No. 171 of 1920.

1000 136
31 3 21.

Serial
No.

7 Forwarded with compliments to the
Ch Engineer in Mysore for opinion of
opinion

1000 136

For New Secy Office

31

31

31/4/21

4. 1000

1000 136
31 3 21

28 APR 1921

1000 136

8 Returned, with compliments, to the Secre-
tary to Government, Revenue Department.

8 It is presumed that, in addition to ₹ 1
lakhs which will be provided from State funds
the municipality will make a contribution. I
is not stated what that contribution will be
for the coming year, and the next two years of
the triennium, and the revised programme called
for by Government has not been yet submitted.

9 Therefore there is a certain amount of
difficulty in passing the revised scale of
establishment

10 As, however, the total amount of estab-
lishment is within the limit of ₹ 1500 prescri-
bed by Government, it might be accepted.

11 The sanction of this establishment is
asked for a three-year period. As it is not
possible to say what the allotment will be for
each of the three years, in my opinion the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1000 136

3 S III 21

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

5
4
6
File No. 171 of 1947

Serial
No

the scale of establishment may be sanctioned for one year at a time, subject to renewal at the end of every year, which is also according to the usual practice

12 One Sub Divisional Officer and six Sub-overseers have been provided for As I have said before, in the absence of revised programme of work, and the amount of contribution from the Municipality, it is difficult to say whether seven Subordinate officers are necessary

13 One more observation I wish to make, the pay of the Sub overseers may be fixed in conformance with the scale prevailing in Public Works Department This scale is as follows -

Suboverseer, 1st Class	65	$\frac{10}{3}$	- 75
,, 2nd Class	45	$\frac{5}{3}$	- 60
,, 3rd Class	30	$\frac{5}{3}$	- 40

Accordingly, there may be

2 Suboverseers	on 65	$\frac{10}{3}$	- 75
2 ,,	on 45	$\frac{5}{3}$	- 60
2 ,,	on 30	$\frac{5}{3}$	- 40

14 The difference between the municipal and Departmental scale is this In the Municipal scale, the Rs 5 increment is proposed to be given annually In the Departmental scale the increment is given triennially

NOTE—Contn. sheet

score,
14 April 21

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

7010

G. R. S. III 21

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 471 of 1910

Serial
No

In connection with the question of the grant of enhanced allowance to Mr. Mahomed Ras, Chief Officer, Mysore City Council for land acquisition connected with the Trust Board, the Financial Secy. has observed that owing to the heavy deficit ^{to be} expected for the next year, he cannot allot more than Rs two lakhs for all items under "30. Public Improvements" out of his grant, a greater sum will naturally go to the Mysore City Trust Board and ^{only a small amount} ~~therefore~~ may be anticipated for the Bangalore City Improvements.

16 Bangalore City Council have provided in their draft budget for the next year Rs 1,25,000 as a grant for the City Improvement works in the current year.

17 Submitted for orders. ^{When} ~~the~~ is moved to para 2 ^{supra}

3144 the increment proposed is in increment is ^{transferred} in the P.W.D.

1/1

010

G. R. S. III 21

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 171 of 1920

Serial
No

With scale may perhaps be
approved subject to the
observations of the Ch Sugan
the the hands sanctioned need
not necessarily be entertained
a force

Newbery

Hu, 3/5-

(We may initiate the grant
that is likely to be made ^{for next year} and
ask for proposals for a corres-
ponding reduced establishment
for one year at present. The Pl
dept may be consulted on the
former point -

102
3 5-21

7th Sect)

Hu,

4-5

2408 20
4 Dec
Please print
amending the
order sheet
Comp

mk

III

A copy of the order passed on the
Comptroller's Budget rules on 20-grants
for public improvement (and con)

8/15
5/15
Rev Secretary

78

7010

13 R. S. III 21

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Int File No. 171 of 18 10

E

Serial
No

23 From De extract int-af of Re &
Secd. 1- is men that only a sum of
Rs 75,000/- have been allotted in a
State Budget for next year for Re
Bangalore city improvements

11. Submitted with ref to para
19 supra and also a draft on the lines
enclosed thereof therein


42
105-1

New Secy

Wm
115-

(Dewan)

hm
15-21
20



DO No

C 658-20⁸



29721 General and Revenue Secretariat
Bangalore the 9th July 1921

Dear Mr Mirza

I am desired to enclose a copy of a note prepared under the orders of the Dewan in which the question of the continuance of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee has been discussed. The Dewan intends to talk the matter over with you at an early date before the matter is formally taken up Council.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ K. Matthan

Amin-ul-mulk

Mirza M Ismail Esq B A,
Huzur Secretary to H H the Maharaja

For copy sent to
Sub to me
by 4 P m today
21

COPY to be sent to a 28/11/21

enclosed with a draft
of the note in relation
to the committee - the note
is in the margin
of the note (as per the)
Dewan) aff 14/7
28/11/21
The H. by the Dewan
& sent to the Dewan
by the Dewan
27/8/21
The letter
is in the margin
of the note
28/11/21

**Note on the estimates for the Town Hall, Bangalore City,
and other kindred questions**

The site for the Town Hall was approved in Government Order No 7477-9/M1 110-18-66 dated the 7th January 1920 and the plans and elevations in Government Order No R 11276-7/M1 181-19-2 dated the 27th March 1920. The estimates now submitted consist of two parts, viz , (i) foundation and (ii) superstructure. Those for the former as approved by the Chief Engineer and the City Improvement Committee amount to Rs.78,514 and those for the latter to Rs.1,89,166. In view of the present financial stringency, the whole work has to be stopped for the time being. So far work to the extent of only Rs.4,277 has been done and there is no disadvantage whatever likely to accrue as the result of the cessation of the work.

2 The City drainage estimates too, came up for consideration recently. The estimates cost Rs 18,21,200 approximately and the Government grant towards the work will be half this sum (whereas in the case of the Town Hall and other works, it is two-thirds). Pending sanction to the comprehensive scheme, the City Improvement Committee sought sanction to carry out a portion of it considered very necessary now to serve the following areas, viz , New General Market, New Bamboo Bazaar, Town Hall and Visvesvarapura Extension. In reply to a query as to whether the cost (Rs 1,20,820) of this part of the work could first be wholly met out of the Municipal funds, the Honorary President City Municipal Council, says that the Municipal Council can only meet its quota, namely half, and that too if it is spread over two or three years. In these circumstances, the drainage works too will have to be postponed, to be taken up at the earliest opportunity.

3 Out of the works proposed to be carried out by the City Improvement Committee during 1921-22, Rs 48,000 have been budgeted at

for as the Government's share of the cost on account of the above two works, But the allotment for Bangalore City Improvement in the State Budget for 1921-22 being only Rs 75,000, there will be only Rs.58,333 available for all works after deducting the money required as grant towards meeting establishment and other charges, viz , Rs.16,667

4 The question now arises very properly if it is necessary in view of the financial stringency that has now arisen being likely to persist for some time, to keep up the machinery of the Improvement Committee as distinct from that of the City Municipal Council, in order to carry out works towards the contribution for which - - Government may not spare more than about Rs.60,000 annually. The devising of the plans for the works of the City has been well thought out and planned already as shown in the review of the work of Bangalore City Improvement (G O No 5682-7/ML 1-19-2 dated the 14th November 1919) Whenever the magnitude of the works increases or entirely new and complex questions regarding the growth of the City arise, then the City Improvement Committee may be reconstituted as required In this connection, attention is invited to paragraph C of G O No 7335-7/ML 1-19-7 dated the 2nd January 1920 reconstituting the present Committee wherein reference is pointedly made to the magnitude and importance of the works to be carried out and the large share of the cost thereof to be borne by Government which happened to be the factors at the time

5 The City Municipal Council can very well administer the reduced grants as contemplated by Government In fact, they may be glad to co-operate with Government in reducing the cost of the establishments of the City Improvement which are found to some extent to be redundant at the present juncture. After the question of policy is decided, definite proposals will be elaborated for re-arranging the work of the Bangalore City Improvement on the lines indicated herein

BANGALORE CITY IMPROVEMENT WORKS

proposed to be undertaken during 1921-22

-----0/0-----

Name of work	Amount of estimate	Outlay up to end of previous year	Grant from Government	Remarks
1. Constructing the interior stalls of the New General Market	1,56,000	77,729	33,333(2/3)	
2. Laying out roads and drains to the New Bamboo Bazaar	32,040	29,355	2,000(2/3)	
3. Constructing Town Hall at Bangalore	2,68,166	31,277	40,000(2/3)	
4. Easing the Kink and regrading the bed of main drain near the Market	82,155	15,000	20,000(2/3)	
5. Re-arrangement of the Palace Upparahally and Dobbaspeta villages	69,270	---	5,000(1/2)	
6. Constructing main and sub mains required to connect the drainage of Visvesvarapura extension Bamboo Bazaar and the Market	1,20,820	---	8,000(1/2)	
			Total 1,08,333	

* NOTE The improvement of Avenue Road near the Palace Gate carried out by the Military has been omitted as the work was stated to be completed during the current year. If the work is not likely to be finished, funds required for 1921-22 may be provided by reduction under item No 6

	Amount of estimate	Grant from Government	Remarks
<u>Establishment</u>			
Executive and office, 1,500 X 12	18,000		
Allowance to Chairman & office, 352 X 12	4,224		
<u>Contingencies</u>			
Chairman's office (A)	1,160	16,589(2/3)	
Ex Engineer's office (B)	1,500		
Tools and plant	---	78(2/3)	
		<u>16,667</u>	
			<u>Details</u>
			A. Office rent 50/-
			Purchase of annual supply of stationery
			Sundry articles at Rs.30 & Rs.20 per month
			Printing and
			ve in
			o -
			rewards

subject -

Construction of a Town Hall in
Bangalore and the question of continuing
the City Improvement Committee

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL

*Adm. to be announced to
Sewan Bahadur K. P. Chetty
4/8*

G. M. S. 1921

al and Revenue
cretariat

171
File No. 75 of 1920

Serial
No

X Letter No 8 of 12721 from the chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore

In continuation of letter No 506 of the 16th March 1921, submits for -tion, as called for, a review of the City Improvement works for the two years 1920-21 and 1921-22.

The main file regarding the establishment required for the City Improvement -ment - Bureau is now pending in along with the question of constructing the Town Hall

Yes
19/11
18/7

If approved this may be sent to the Council for their consideration

Since the year 1920-21 has already closed, only the progress for the current year (i.e. 1921-22) contained in the list giving the P. U. D. has to be out

C3
P. U. D. 1921-22
5/11/21

lul
T. H. L. L. L.

Serial
No

7

Do No 23129) 18.11.21 to the
Chairman, City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

States that under the circum-
stances explained Govt consider that the
Office of the City Improvement
may be wound up by the end of the pres-
ent month the work transferred to
Council and requests him to take
necessary action in this regard.

8

Do No 12 12-21 from the Chairman,
City Improvement Committee, Bangalore

States that he agrees with the
proposal and will give effect to the
orders of Govt to wind up his office by
the end of the month

Submitted for orders

Yh
13/12/21

A formal G.O. may be
put up

dec
13/12/21

draft 28 is enclosed for appl.

Yh
15/12/21

Please put up a clean copy
as revised

dec
16/12/21

3336

15

R. S. III 2)

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 171 of 19 21

Serial
No

TYPE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
1922
OFF GOVT
BRANCH

EVANS OFFICE

Recd on DEC 17 1921

Reg. No. 3401

A typed copy of the draft order to
be sent for approval

[Signature]
16-12-21 *[Signature]* (Rev Secy)

[Signature]
16/12/21
[Signature]
17/12/21

[Signature]

Submitted to His Highness

These papers relate to the conduct of the future work of the City Improvement of Bangalore. In view of the reduced grants to be contributed from State funds in the near future the work is proposed to be entrusted to the City Municipal Council instead of continuing the existing City Improvement Committee.

for approval

A draft Order in the matter is submitted herewith for favour of gracious approval.

Submitted by

23

26th Dec

(42)

Arrangements for the future conduct
of work of Bangalore City Improvement

c no 38

~~KRW~~
28/12/21

Rev-ly
Dear Gene ~~and~~ ~~and~~

Carole King

31 12 28

Friday plans

G. S. III-2,

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Inl File No. 171 of 1920

Serial
No

To The Chairman, City-Impt Comm^{tee}
 " President City-Impt Comm^{tee}, Bangalore
 " Chief Engineer
 " Comptroller to Govt
 " Financial Secretary

G.O. No. 23813-7 of 31 12 20

Orders the abolition of the City-Impt
 Committee, Bangalore by the end of July 19
 and the transfer of its work to the
 Bangalore city Municipal Council

No 306

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE /8

Encls 2

Dated Bangalore 15th March 1921

16

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA

K P PUTTANNA CHETTY CIT

Chairman City Improvement Committee

Bangalore

RECEIVED
17 MAR 1921

To

No 171-20-6

57155

B Ramaswamiya Esq., B A B L,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department
Bangalore

SIR

800 7010
21 3 21

S

Adverting to Government Proceedings No R 8567-70/11

3-19-18 dated the 1st February 1921 sanctioning the continuance of the Special Improvement Division under the Executive Engineer, for a period of 3 years from 3rd August 1920 and calling for a revised scale of establishment limiting the establishment charges to Rs 1,500/- per mensem and also a revised programme of works for three years limiting the annual expenditure from State contribution to 1½ lacs I have the honor to submit herewith, for the approval of Government, a revised scale of establishment as called for, together with a copy of the City Improvement Committee's resolution dated the 12th instant approving the same

2 The revised programme of works for the 3 years 1920-21 to 22-23 was discussed and approved by the City Improvement Committee at the meeting held on the 12th instant and it has been forwarded to the City Municipal Council for approval and it will be submitted to Government after receiving their approval

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttanna Chetty
Chairman,
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

17

Enc to ml 171-20-6 (19)

**Proceedings of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee,
at the meeting held at 8 A.M., in the City Municipal Office,
Bangalore, on Saturday the 12th March 1921.**

MEMBERS.

1. **Devan Bahadur Raja Sabha Khushama**
K.P. Puttanna Chetty & I.E. (Chairman)
2. **B.K. Garudachar Esq.** (Vice Chairman)
3. **John Ehere Esq.** A.M.I.C.E.
Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle
4. **A.K. Pami Esq.** D.P.H.
Sanitary Commissioner
5. **M. Subbiah Esq.** B.A.
6. **K. Sreenivasa Rao Esq.**
7. **Rev. D.A. Rees**
8. **B. Chinmaswami Chetty Esq.**
9. **B. Uman Khem Esq.**
10. **V. Lakshmaswami Esq.**

Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel, Superintendent, Government Gardens
was also present on invitation

ADVISERS.

1. **M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia Esq.**
2. **B. Abdur Rahman Esq.** B.A.

IX

XX

XX


12 Government order No R 8567-70 ML 3-19-18 dated the 1st February 1921, sanctioning the continuance of the Special Improvement Division, under the Executive Engineer, for a period of 3 years from 3rd August 1920 and calling for a revised programme of works, for the next 3 years, limiting the annual expenditure to 1½ lakhs of rupees and also a revised scale of establishment limiting the establishment charges to Rs 1 500/- per mensem

2 -

Also letter No 603 dated the 4th March 1921, from the Executive Engineer, City Improvement Division, submitting a draft programme of works and a revised scale of establishment as called for

Resolution -- The revised programme of works and the revised scale of establishment are approved

" C O P Y "



Chairman
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore



Enc to ML171-20-6

CITY IMPROVEMENT DIVISION

Revised scale of Establishment required for 3 years from

Classification Ser. and No. Designation:		No Sanctions	Rate of pay	Contingent allowance	Total cost
<u>ENGINEERS.</u>					
1.	Executive Engineer.	1	675	100	775
2	Assistant Engineer.	1	250-25-400		325
		2			1170
<u>UPPER SUBORDINATES</u>					
3	Sub Divisional Officers	2	80-100	30	250
<u>LOWER SUBORDINATES</u>					
4	Sub Overseers	8	90-50-70	15	600
<u>PETTY.</u>					
5	Temporary Maistries	4	20	"	80
<u>OFFICE</u>					
6.	Head Clerk	1	50	"	50
7	Deputy Clerk	1	30	"	30
8.	Clerks Sub Division.	2	25	"	50
9.	Mutsaddis	2	20	"	40
10.	Store Keeper	1	30	10	40
11	Typist	1	25	"	25
12	Record Keeper	1	25	"	25
13.	Architectural Draftsman	1	100	"	100
14.	Head Draftsman	1	50	"	50
15.	Assistant Draftsman Tracer	1	25	"	25
16	Accountant	1	50-75	"	68 3/4
17.	Accounts Clerk	1	25	"	25
18	Cashier	1	40	"	40
19.	Peons.	2	8	"	16
20.	Peons.	6	7	"	42
21	Watchman	1	7	"	7
22.	Sweeper	1	4	"	4
Total		1	4	"	2697 3/4

Date	Particulars	Total Cost
300 /	100	400
		400
100	30	130
65-8-70	15	15
45-8-83	15	65
20-8-80	15	60
35-8-45	15	110
		401
50		50
30	"	30
25	"	25
20	"	20
30	10	40
25	"	25
25		25
100-11000	"	108
" (6)	"	"
30-3-36 (6)	"	32
30-2 1/2 - 2500	"	22 1/2
70-5-75	"	73
"	"	"
40	"	40
8	"	16
7	"	42
7	"	7
3 1/2	"	2 1/2
		1490

(a) \$45 per hour for laborer for p.w. 2 hrs
\$0 no 747-56-E-244-3-50 of 22-8-19
(b) Man & woman drove over road and found
the place
(c) A person on the 20-25 in place of
the man & woman is proposed for the first

(sd) T Ramachandran

Executive Business *John*

No 8 **1464**

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Encls 1 *copy of resolution* Dated Bangalore 18th July 1921
1 Programme 13

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SADHA BHUSHANA

K P PUTTANNA CHETTY CIE

Chairman City Improvement Committee

Bangalore

To

GEN & R I

13 JUL 1921

SIR

B Ramaswamiya Esq. B A B L.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department
Bangalore

D 335
L 14721

u (75-21-2)

in context

In continuation of my letter No 506 dated the 16th March 1921, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government, as called for a revised programme of City Improvement works for the two years 1920--21 and 1921--22. The reason for framing the programme for 2 years only instead of 3 years is explained in the resolution of City Improvement Committee copy of which is herewith submitted along with the resolution of the Municipal Council approving the programme.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttanna Chetty

Chairman
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

rtg

22

Proceedings of an Ordinary General Meeting of the City
Municipal Council Bangalore held in the Council Chamber on
Saturday the 2nd July 1921

x

x

x

2 Letter No 505 dated 16th March 1921 from the Chairman
City Improvement Committee forwarding the programme of City
Improvement Works for 3 years 1920-21 to 1922-23 as approved
by the City Improvement Committee and requesting to obtain
the approval of the Municipal Council to the same and letter
No 609 dated 13th June 1921 from the same Officer forwarding
a modified programme for two years

Resolution -- Approved

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee at
an adjourned meeting held at 8 A M in the City Municipal
Office Bangalore on Thursday the 9th June 1921

x

x

x

4 Revised Programme of works for the three years including
1920-21 received from the Executive Engineer City Improvement
Division

Resolution -- Approved with the modification that for the
year 1921-22 ^a provision of not less than Rs 25 000/- be made
for the construction of the Market Frontage, and that, owing to
prevailing uncertainty as to grants, the programme be made out
for two years only at present

"C o p i e s"


Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore
H.M. - -

City Improvements

Programme of
City Improvements
works to be under-
taken during the
~~next~~ two years
1920-21 and 1921-22

Programme of City Improvement works its

Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Outlay to end of Previous year	Proportion	
			From Government	From Municipality
<u>1920-21</u>				
<u>I. Works the cost of which is paid partly by Government and partly by municipalities.</u>				
1. Constructing the interior stalls of the New General Market	15,600	47729	$\frac{2}{3}$	2666
2. Laying out roads and drains to the New Bamboo Bazaar	32,040	14,355	$\frac{2}{3}$	8,66
3. Improving the Avenue road between Cunningham road and Palace Gate	15,000	1228	$\frac{2}{3}$	900
4. Easing the kink and regrading the bed and covering the main drain near the market	82,155	-	$\frac{2}{3}$	13,3
<u>Total I</u>			<u>57,667</u>	
<u>II. Works the cost of which is wholly paid by municipalities.</u>				
1. Laying out viaduct and extension to the east of Fort Centre Road	525,100	155876	revised 61555	
			<u>21,7431</u>	

$\frac{1}{3}$	13,333	40,000	87,729	68,271	Sanctioned vide No 980-7 and 87-17-55 of 5-2-19. Work in progress
$\frac{1}{3}$	4,333	13,000	27,355	4,685	Sanctioned in G.O. No 7220 2 and 110-18-10 of 11-11-18. Work in progress.
$\frac{1}{3}$	4,500	13,500	14,728	272	Sanctioned vide G.O. No R 4440-1 and 2-19-5 of 13-10-19. In progress
$\frac{1}{3}$	6667	20,000	20,000	62,156	Sanctioned vide No 6683-6 and 87-17-58 of 4-12-20. Tender for construction approved by Government
	28,833	86,500			
	46,987	46,989	264,420	260,680	Original estimate for Rs 3,63,640 was sanctioned on 4-8-19. A revised estimate for Rs 5,25,800 was submitted vide No 107 of 18-8-1920
	46,987				

~~to meet Kumbharjundi road~~		20,390		
4	Lay out of Hozakata Road into Shop Street	13,153		
5	Purchasing a 8 ton Steam Road Roller	16,530		
<u>Total II</u>				
		Total Work I and II		57,66
<u>Establishment</u>				
Executions and Office establishment		Rs 15,722		
Allowance to Chairman and Office 352 x 12		4,224		
Allowance to Land Acquisition Office 151 x 12		1,812		

3575	3575	4840	744	Sanctioned in G O No 9232-37 2-19-10 of 43-2-20 will be completed within a month of Rs 700.
6568	6568	6568	13820	Sanctioned in G O No 12688-90 sub of 2-19-20 of 5-5-1920
5000	5000	5000	8153	Sanctioned vide No 12688-90 sub 2-19-20 of 5-5-20 As the require- ment was under estimate a revised estimate for Rs 17950/- has been forwarded to the Municipal Council.
23368	23368	23368		Sanctioned in G O No 5068-70 sub 31-20-20 of 19-10-20
85500	85500			
114333	1172000			
				As now actually employed Sanctioned in G O No 10916-79 sub 1-19-27 of 18-3-20 -20 - G O No 8490 sub 31-20-45 of 29-1-21

Allowance to Municipal				
Advocate 50x12	600			
Surveyor and Draftsman	210			
35x6				
Consolidated allowance	3432	2600		
<u>Contingencies:</u>				
<u>Chairman's Office</u> - Purchase of				
Stationery	375			
Stationery	175			
Printing & Advertising	100			
Printing & Advertising	50			
Printing & Advertising	700			
<u>Executive Engineers Office</u>				
Office rent 55x12	660			
Purchase of Stationery	600			
Drawing materials				
Chemicals and Sundries	240	2200		1880
Tools and plant	1500			50
Total				7700
Percentage of Estab. Income				

9400	28,200
26.7	800
1,24,000	2,01,000
	16,000

1921-1922

I Works the Cost of which is
 is paid partly by Govern-
 ment and partly by Municipal-
 Corporation

- 1 Constructing the Interior
 Stalls of the New General
 Market

156,000 87,729 $\frac{2}{3}$ 30,000

Market Frontage

1,16,000 16,333

- 2 Laying out road and drainage
 to the New Bamboo Bazaar

320 40 27,358 $\frac{2}{3}$ 2,000

- 3 Removing the Kunk and
 regrading the bed and
 covering the drainage drain
 near the Market

82,155 20,000 $\frac{2}{3}$ 10,000

Total I

58,333

II Works cost of which is

wholly paid by Municipal-
 Corporation

- 1 Laying out and re-
 extension to the east of Fort
 Centre Road

525,100 2,64,420

$\frac{1}{3}$	15,000	45,000	13,2729	2,3271
	8,167	24,500	24,500	91,500
$\frac{1}{3}$	1,000	3,000	32,355	
$\frac{1}{3}$	5,000	15,000	35,000	47,155
	29,167	87,500		
	85,000	85,000	3,49,420	1,75,680

With he completed with a savings of Rs 200/-

2	Continuing the Diagonal road of Krasovskaya Street Extension to meet the Humbargund Road.	20,390	6,568		
3	Laying out Hoshokota Road into Shop Lane Street	13,153	5,000		
4	Construction of Cloth Bazar in the New General Market	10,684			
	<u>Total.</u>				
	<u>Total for Nos I and II.</u>				58,33
	<u>Establishment.</u>				
	Executive and office	18,000			
	Allowance to the Chairman & Office	4,224			
	<u>Total</u>	22,224			
	<u>Contingencies.</u>				
	Office of the Chairman	1,160			
	— do — Executive Expenses	1,500			
		2,660		$\frac{2}{3}$	1,658
	<u>Tools and plant.</u>			$\frac{2}{3}$	78
	<u>Total for 1921-22</u>			$\frac{2}{3}$	750
	<u>Percentage of Establishment</u>				

[illegible]

Discussion.

25

invested in the drainage of the ~~estate~~ two years 1920-21 and 1921-22

Proportion	From municipa- lity	Total	Total Expenditure	Balance of estimate amount at end of the year	Remarks
------------	---------------------------	-------	----------------------	---	---------

$$\begin{array}{r}
 15-24-7 \\
 17-20-25 \\
 \hline
 L. 3129 / ml \\
 \hline
 171 \quad 10 \quad 22 \quad 24 \quad 25 \quad 27
 \end{array}$$

state that
the first cause that

July

28-1121

Rev 2007

Rajasabhabhusana Dewan Bahadur
K P Puttanna Chetty, Esq., C.I.E.,
Chairman, City Improvement Cdr
Bangalore.

mc 171-20
86 23

28 2
31/12.1921
Proceedings of the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read again --

u
Govt Order No R 7335-7/M1 1-19-7
dated 2nd January 1920 sanctioning the
reconstitution of ^{the} City Improvement -
Committee, Bangalore with effect from
1st February 1920

7
2 G O No R 3571-84/M1 1-19-20
dated the 6th Febry 1920 sanctioning
the appointment of memooers for the
above Committee

5
3 G O No R 8567-~~84~~ M1 3-19-18~~2~~
dated 1st Febry 1921 sanctioning the
continuance of a special engineering
Division for the execution and super-
vision of certain improvement works of
the Bangalore City for a further period
of three years with effect from 3rd
August 1920 limiting the establishment
to Rs 1500/- per mensem

Letter No 506 dated the 15th
March 1921 from the Chairman City
Improvement Committee Bangalore sub-
mitting for approval as called for in
the G O of the 1st Febry 1921 read
above a revised scale of establishment
~~Maintaining the establishment charges at~~
~~Rs. 1,500/- per mensem~~ and also a revised
programme of the City Improvement Works
for three years from 1920-21 to 1922-23

Order No

23873-7
Dated, 31st Decr 1921

For several years past the City

ted ?
to a standing order
lished in the Gazette
English Part

tion dated

placed at the disposal of the press,

published in the Gazette
Kannada Part

23

21)

- 2 -

Improvement Committee of Bangalore was under the chairmanship of the President of the City Municipal Council with a few Municipal Councillors and officers of Govt as members. In view of the magnitude and importance of the works that were expected to be carried out by the Committee whose total cost came to about Rs 13 lakhs exclusive of the self-supporting projects and the drainage works, Govt ordered the Committee being reconstituted as a separate body in January 1920 with Rajasabharbhushana Dewan Bahadur K P Puttanna Chetty as Chairman, ^{and} six members nominated by Govt. and a Vice Chairman and four Municipal Councillors nominated by the City Municipal Council. About the same time, Govt. also ordered the continuance of the special Engineering Division for the execution and supervision of the improvement works for a further period of three years, the establishment charges being limited to Rs 1,500 per mensem. But in view of the existing financial stringency, most of the works have had to be postponed for want of funds and the allotments ~~originally contemplated have had to be~~ considerably curtailed.

2. The question has therefore arisen whether in view of the existing financial stringency being likely to ~~persist~~ ^{continue} for some time, it would be -- really desirable to keep up the machinery

of the City Improvement Committee distinct from the City Municipal Council in order to carry out works for which Govt may not be prepared to contribute more than Rs.60,000/- per ~~annum~~ ^{annum}.

J. After a careful consideration of the matter, Govt have come to the conclusion that, in view of the fact that the devising of plans for the improvement of the City has been well thought out and ~~placed~~ ^{placed} already and the City Municipal Council can very well administer the reduced grants, the further continuance of the City Improvement Committee as a ~~distinct~~ ^{distinct} body is no longer necessary. They are accordingly pleased to direct that the said Committee be reconstituted in the Govt. orders read above, as also the Special Engineering Division formed thereunder, be wound up by the end of February 1922 and that their work be transferred to the Bangalore City Municipal Council thereafter.

4 The Chairman is requested to submit, in consultation with the City Municipal Council and its President, definite proposals for carrying on ^{the work of City Improvement} ~~the work of City Improvement with the Municipal Council on~~ or before the 31st January next.

cc
24 12 21

42 7 Revenue Secretary to Govt.
14/12/21
p.t.o.

To

~~✓~~ The ~~Chairman~~, City Improvement
Committee, Bangalore.

~~✓~~ The President, Bangalore ~~City~~
Municipal Council.

~~✓~~ The ~~Chief~~ of Engineering ~~Dept~~ Mysore.

~~✓~~ The Comptroller to Govt

✓ The Financial Secy to Govt

ACH

S. O.

**Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.**

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G O No L. 3813-7—ML 171-20-23, dated 31st December 1921

Abolition of the City Improvement Committee, Bangalore

Ordering the — by the end of February 1922 and the transfer of its work to the Bangalore City Municipal Council.

READ AGAIN—

Government Order No. R. 7335-7—ML 1-19-7, dated 2nd January 1920, sanctioning the reconstitution of the City Improvement Committee, Bangalore, with effect from 1st February 1920.

2 Government Order No. R. 8871-84—ML 1 19-20, dated the 6th February 1920, sanctioning the appointment of members for the above Committee.

3 Government Order No. R. 8567 70—ML 3-19-18, dated 1st February 1921, sanctioning the continuance of a special Engineering Division for the execution and supervision of certain improvement works of the Bangalore City for a further period of three years with effect from 3rd August 1920, limiting the establishment charges to Rs. 1,500 per mensem.

4 Letter No. 506, dated the 15th March 1921, from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore, submitting for approval, as called for in the Government Order of the 1st February 1921, read above a revised scale of establishment and also a revised programme of the City Improvement Works for three years from 1920-21 to 1922-23

ORDER NO. L. 3813—ML. 171-20-23, DATED 31ST DECEMBER 1921

For several years past, the City Improvement Committee of Bangalore, was under the chairmanship of the President of the City Municipal Council with a few Municipal Councillors and Officers of Government as members. In view of the magnitude and importance of the works that were expected to be carried out by the Committee whose total cost came to about Rs. 13 lakhs exclusive of the self supporting projects and the drainage works, Government ordered the Committee being re-constituted as a separate body in January 1920 with Rajasabhabhushana Dewan Bahadur K. P. Puttanna Chetty as Chairman and six members nominated by Government and a Vice-Chairman and four Municipal Councillors nominated by the City Municipal Council. About the same time, Government also ordered the continuance of the special Engineering Division for the execution and supervision of the Improvement works for a further period of three years, the establishment charges being limited to Rs. 1,500 per mensem. But in view of the existing financial stringency most of the works have had to be postponed for want of funds and the allotments considerably curtailed.

2 The question has therefore arisen whether in view of the existing financial stringency being likely to continue for some time, it would be really desirable to keep up machinery of the City Improvement Committee distinct from the City Municipal Council in order to carry out works for which Government may not be prepared to contribute more than Rupees 60,000 per annum

3 After a careful consideration of the matter, Government have come to the conclusion that, in view of the fact that the devising of plans for the improvement of the City has been well thought out and chalked out already and the City Municipal Council can very well administer the reduced grants, the further continuance of the

No L 3813 7—ML 171 20 23 DATED 31ST DECEMBER 1921,

City Improvement Committee as a distinct body is no longer necessary. They are accordingly pleased to direct that the ~~Board~~ Committee as reconstituted in the Government Orders read above as also the Special Engineering Division formed thereunder be wound up by the end of February 1922 and that their work be transferred to the Bangalore City Municipal Council thereafter.

4 The Chairman is requested to submit before the end of January 1922 in consultation with the City Municipal Council and its President, definite proposals for the carrying on the work of the City Improvement in the future.

C VENKATA RAO
Secy to Govt Rev Dept

To—The Chairman City Improvement Committee Bangalore
The President City Municipal Council Bangalore
The Chief Engineer of Mysore
The Comptroller Mysore Government
The Financial Secretary to Government

PRUSS TABLE

End—s m

